Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,900	ZHOU, XUE MEI
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Jehanne S. Sitton	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Jehanne S. Sitton</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Sandra Wells</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 May, 2006 9. A. 5/30/07	Time:	•
	cant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1		·
Prior art documents discussed:  NA		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not necessary for applicant to provide a separate</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		,
Jehanne Sitt		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: THe examiner indicated that although the intended scope of the claims in the response appeared to be directed to the specific 25 base pair sequences of SEQ ID NOS 1-982,914, the use of the term "comprising" and the reference to probes in the second wherein clause could be construed to allow for additional nucleotide sequences on either side. The examiner indicated that the use of the term in the 2nd wherein clause in the scope of enablement rejection was in error. The examiner suggested the following examiner's amendment to claim 1 so that the use of the term "comprising" would modify the plurality instead of the probes. The applicant's representative agreed to the changes in the attached examiner's amendment.